LAW OFFICES OF

NIELSEN, MERKSAMER, PARRINELLO, MUELLER & NAYLOR, LLP

SACRAMENTO 1415 L STREET, SUITE 1200 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814 TELEPHONE (916) 446-6752

591 REDWOOD HWY., #4000 MILL VALLEY, CALIFORNIA 94941 TELEPHONE (415) 389-6800 SAN FRANCISCO 225 BUSH STREET, 16TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104 TELEPHONE (415) 389-6800

FAX (916) 446-6106

FAX (415) 388-6874

FAX (415) 388-6874

May 18, 2005

VIA PDF EMAIL ONLY

Galena West, Commission Legal Counsel Fair Political Practices Commission 428 J Street, Suite 620 Sacramento, CA 95814-2329

Re:

Interested Persons Meeting, May 19, 2005: Affiliated Entities

and Aggregation (FPPC Regulation (18428 ("18428"))

Dear Ms. West:

I regret that an out-of-town family obligation prevents me from attending your Interested Persons Meeting tomorrow. I also apologize for the lateness in replying with these written comments. I provide an introduction and then address your five questions in the order in your email:

Introduction

(1) <u>Long Standing Interest:</u> As you will see if you read the Larry Woodlock's memo to the Commission on March 29, 2002 regarding 18428, I have wanted for some time for the Commission to consider clarifications to this Regulation. I understood and agreed at that time that the Commission's need was to not let the emergency regulation expire. It did that, and it also removed the contradictions in paragraph (d).

Now is an appropriate time to consider all the questions raised in your email and possibly more.

(2) What Does 18428 Say or Not Say Now?: To be able to make understandable recommendations on what changes might be considered in 18428, let me first summarize what I believe 18428 says and does not say and provide you an illustrative 461 and 460 Schedule A:

(a) <u>Section (a)</u>: Requires aggregation of contributions by all affiliated contributors for limits and reporting purposes.

It first references 85311. This statute provides the (1) "direct and control" aggregation test by a single individual or a group of individuals and their related entities and (2) a presumption of aggregation based on majority ownership unless rebutted by acting "independently in their decisions to make contributions."

Does the reference to Government Code section 85311 mean that its definitions apply to 18428? Therefore, does the term "entity" exclude an individual contributor?

(b) <u>Section (b)</u>: Requires individual contributors (who contribute their own money) to file all such personal contributions and contributions of <u>their</u> affiliated entities on a single Form 461.

It next requires the consolidated 461 be filed in the name of the "person" who directs and controls these affiliated contributions, and presumably that "person" is an individual.

It is not clear to me how 18428 addresses two or more entities that are affiliated when a majority of the same group of individuals controls their contributions (as provided for in Government Code section 85311(c)), especially if none of these individuals make personal contributions. Does the person responsible for preparing and filing the consolidated Form 461 choose one of the entities to be the filer ("Name of Filer") and consider the other entity contributors to be the "affiliated entities?"

This section then requires the 461 filer to disclose which of the affiliated entities makes "each itemized payment" on its Form 461. (See illustrated 461.)

And finally the 461 filer must "identify on its next campaign statement, the additions or deletions of any (affiliated) entity (contributor)." But it is unclear to me whether the current language could be read to imply that this obligation continues from one year to another? However, a contributor (or a consolidated contributor filer) loses Major Donor status on every December 31.

(c) <u>Section (c)</u>: If the affiliated entities are recipient committees, each committee shall file its own Form 460.

(d) Section (d): There are five elements, as follows:

- (i) Application only to 461 Filers?: Although it is not clear that the FPPC can require a transmittal letter be sent by a contributor who is not, or not yet, a Major Donor, this section appears to first confirm that it applies to any group of contributors that are "affiliated," even as they make their first two \$100 contributions from two separate but affiliated sources.
- (ii) Required transmittal letter to recipient: It then says the contributor in an affiliation must advise the recipient (460 filer) in writing of (1) the name and address of the contributor, (2) the name(s) of all the affiliated entities, and (3) the requirement for the recipient 460 committee to identify the contributor "as an affiliated entity on (its 460 report)."
- (iii) What "Affiliated Entities" to be on list attached to transmittal letter: It is unclear to me whether the list attached to the transmittal letter is those who did contribute to other committees during the same reporting period or those expected to contribute in that calendar year.
- (iv) <u>Proper Disclosure by Recipient:</u> It then says that the 460 committee receiving such a contribution (and such a transmittal letter) must disclose the contributor as "[name of contributor] and affiliated entities" on its 460 report. (See illustrated 460.)
- (v) 461 Filer not necessarily identified: What 18428 in my view does not says is that the 460 committee must identify the "Filer Name" contributor on its 460 report (consequently, there is no easy way for a citizen to find the consolidated 461 for the contributor upon viewing the 460 report.)
- (e) <u>Section (e)</u>: Requires the 460 committees to keep the transmittal letters from consolidated contributors for 5 years (to verify if the 460 and the 461s properly complied with section (d)?).
- (f) Section (f): Exempts a sponsored committee from having to write a transmittal letter to itself and keep that transmittal letter for five years as required in sections (d) and (e) above.

- (3) <u>Illustrated 461 and 460 Schedule A:</u> Attached, as discussed above, are illustrated pages from Form 461 and Form 460, Schedule A. The two-page 461 by "Smith Company and affiliated entities" illustrates the disclosure of three contributions that are also separately illustrated on the recipient's 460 Schedule A.
- (a) <u>461 Report:</u> The first contribution is made by Smith Company, the Form 461 "Name of Filer. The second contribution is made by Jones Company and is disclosed as I read 18428. The third contribution is also made by Jones Company and is disclosed as I read 18428, but see its disclosure on the 460, as I recommend below, which is different from the second contribution's.
- (b) <u>460 Report:</u> The first contribution from Smith Company has no additional parenthetical disclosure because it is the "name of filer contributor" of the 461. But if the FPPC wants the contributor name listed without "and affiliated entities" it could require the parenthetical below the contributor's name and address to state "(Affiliated entity contributor)," or some similar phrase.

The second contribution from Jones Company shows how I believe 18428 requires this disclosure; it does not let a citizen viewing the candidate's committee report (Form 460) find the affiliated contributor Form 461 filings, since this contributor is one of the affiliated entities but is not the entity contributor who is the "Name of Filer" on Form 461.

The third contributor is the same as the second contribution but disclosed as I recommend below.

With this introduction and these illustrated forms, I address your 5 questions:

Question 1: FPPC Should Add a Definition of "Affiliated Entities"?

As discussed in the March 29, 2002 memo, there are pros and cons to providing a "definition" in Regulation 18428; however, I believe the pros outweigh the cons because of the following four concerns:

(1) <u>Affiliation is Not A Static Status:</u> At present "affiliation" seems to be a continuing status among two or more contributors. The consolidated 461 filer needs to modify its list of affiliated entities by adding or deleting them, but without knowing if the list is for contributions made the filing period, in the calendar year, or otherwise. But

affiliation at the time of one contribution may not exist by the time a second contribution is made even from the same contributor and even during the same Major Donor reporting period. For example, Major Donor A decides to whom to contribute by vote of a committee of three. Therefore, under FPPC rules, A is not affiliated with any of those three individuals. However, if two of the individuals retire, and the Major Donor entity does not appoint successors, new contributions from A would be affiliated with the surviving decision maker if he or she makes personal contribution(s). In reverse order, Major Donor B's contributions are controlled by a single individual who also makes personal contributions early in a 461 reporting period; however, B then creates a contribution committee later in the same 461 reporting period and makes additional contributions. At that point the individual and B are no longer affiliated for those late contributions.

- (2) <u>Pre-Major Donor Status:</u> A definition would also clarify whether 18428 applies to affiliated contributors who together are not, or not yet, a Major Donor and who might or might not be such by the next 461 closing deadline. This is mooted if you accept my recommendation on identifying the "Filer Name contributor" on the 460.
- (3) Mergers and Spin-Offs: Nor does 18428 currently address contributions made by unaffiliated entities which then merge and become affiliated, or contributions by affiliated entities after one is sold, and they are no longer affiliated. The FPPC has discussed specific scenarios. (See FPPC Advice Letter to Kathryn Donovan (10/17/01) No. A-01-194.)
- (4) <u>Coverage:</u> A definition would also let the FPPC clarify whether there are any different rules of affiliation for reporting purposes, for contribution limit purposes and for section 84308 purposes.

Question 2: Concerns With Sections (b) & (d)

- (1) <u>Contradiction Removed:</u> Three years ago, I mentioned that the first and second sentences in 18428(d) appeared to me to be contradictory. This has been corrected.
- (2) <u>Providing 460 List of Affiliates is Unnecessary:</u> Is it really necessary to have a consolidated 461 contributor provide every recipient the list of all its affiliates, including (a) those who have not contributed to that recipient, (b) those who have ceased contributing before this contribution but did in the filing period, or (c) those who have not

yet contributed in the same reporting period but may later in the year? Because each affiliate contribution will be identified by name of affiliate on the consolidated 461, I think it is not necessary.

(3) <u>Have 460 Filer Identify 461 Filer:</u> I recommend 18428(d) be modified to require an affiliated contributor to identify to the recipient (1) its full identification, (2) the identity of its Major Donor "Filer Name," if different from the contributor's name, and (3) the requirement of the recipient to also identify the Major Donor Filer Name on its 420 in the field where it discloses the contributor's contribution.

If this were to be done, the public would know the (1) identity of the actual contributor, and (2) how to find that contributor's 461 to learn of all the affiliated contributions disclosed thereon.

This requirement also eliminates the need to define whether affiliation is or is not a continuing status.

(4) More Appropriate Naming of 461 Filer: In sections (b) and (d), I believe there could be more appropriate naming of the consolidated Major Donor.

Whether the 18428(b) requirement for the 461 filer to be identified as "... the name of the person who directs and controls the expenditures ..." applies when that individual does not make personal contributions should be clarified. But even if it only applies to persons who contribute and who "direct and control" entity contributions, if a company or union contributes thousands of dollars, and its president Mary Smith's only contribution is \$100 to her local school board candidate, do you want the Major Donor Filer Name to be: "Mary Smith and affiliated entities"?

Identification by the largest contributor, or any significant contributor and/or a publicly known contributor, seems to make more sense. Therefore, the regulation should allow a Filer Name choice to be "[appropriate entity name] and affiliated entities" or it could be, if you want, "[entity name], Mary Smith and affiliated entities," when Mary Smith is a personal contributor of some significance.

Question 3: Should Government Code Section 85311 Apply to Local Candidates and Committees?

Proposition 34's language and intent should be researched and analyzed, and the Commission should decide whether this section applies to other than state contributions. Currently, the FPPC must have decided it covers only State contributions as its PRA publication says: "Affiliated Entities; Aggregation of Contributions to State Candidates."

If the Commission concludes it applies to locals, it should re-title this section and tell <u>Deerings</u> and <u>West</u> to do likewise; it would also be appropriate to confirm the application of this statute to local candidates in 18428.

Question 4: Application of 18428 to Government Code Section 84308

I recommend the Commission apply the <u>candidate standards</u> in section 85311 and 18428 be applied to 84308 because the <u>trigger</u> of the disqualification requirement is the receipt of campaign contributions. Also section 84308 is located in the Chapter 4 of the Act and not in Chapter 7.

Question 5: Aggregation and Sponsored Committees

Regulation 18419 should be amended to state that the 85311 and 18428 aggregation rules apply to sponsors and their committees if they become affiliated entities as defined by 85311 and 18428.

I hope your Interested Persons Meeting is productive and that you recommend appropriate amendments to this Regulation.

Very truly yours,

Vigo G. Nielsen, Jr.

VGN/cll Attachments Major Donor and Independent Experiment Code sections 84:

pu			MAJOR DONOR AI	MAJOR DONOR AND INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURE COMMITTEE STATEMENT
Expenditure Committee tement		Type or print in ink.	Date Stamp	CALIFORNIA 461
	Statement covers period	Date of election if applicable: (Month, Day, Year)		Page of
	from 1/1/05			For Official Use Only
EVERSE	through6/30/05	N/A		
:				

()							
	State	Statement covers period	Date of election if applicable:		Page	of	
	from	1/1/05	(motiti, Day, Teal)		For O	For Official Use Only	
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE	through	6/30/05	N/A				
1. Name and Address of Filer			3. Summary				l
NAME OF FILER (Include name(s) of all affiliated entities whose contributions are included in this statement.)	utions are included	in this statement.)	(Amounts may be rounded to whole dollars.)	ole dollars.)			
Smith Company & Affiliated Entities			(including loans) of \$100 or more	or more		000 00	2
RESIDENTIAL OR MAILING ADDRESS	(NO. AND STREET)		made this period. (Part 5.).	5.)		\$	3
Address			2. Unitemized expenditures and	sand			
CITY	STATE ZIP	ZIP CODE	contributions (including loans) under	loans) under	•	4	0
Address						Ф	1
RESPONSIBLE OFFICER (If filer is other than an individual)	AREA CODE/DAYTIME PHONE	IME PHONE	 Total expenditures and contributions made this period. (Add Lines 1 + 2.). 		SUBTOTAL :	\$ 20,000	8
Name	Phone		4. Total expenditures and contributions	contributions			
2. Nature and Interests of Filer (Comp	(Complete each applicable section.)	ole section.)	made from prior statement. (Enter	ent. (Enter			
A FILER WHO IS AN INDIVIDUAL MUST LIST THE NAME, ADDRESS, AND BUSINESS INTERESTS OF EMPLOYER OF ITS EIF EIF EN IS THE NAME ADDRESS AND MATIBE OF THE EN IS INFESS.	E, ADDRESS, AND B	USINESS INTERESTS	amount from Line 5 of last statement flied. If this is the first statement for	ast statement tatement for			(
NAME OF EMPLOYER/BUSINESS	BUSINESS	BUSINESS INTERESTS	the calendar year, enter zero.)	zero.)		\$	기
			5. Total expenditures and contributions (including loans) made since	contributions			
ADDRESS OF EMPLOYER/BUSINESS			January 1 of the current calendar year. (Add Lines 3 + 4.)	calendar year.	TOTAL \$	20,000	8
A FILER THAT IS A BUSINESS ENTITY MUST DESCRIBE THE BUSINESS ENGRAGED	THE BUSINESS AC	ACTIVITY IN WHICH IT IS	4. Verification		:		1
Publisher			I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed the statement and to the best of my knowledge the information	diligence in preparing this to the best of my knowled	statemen	t. I have ormation	
A FILER THAT IS AN ASSOCIATION MUST PROVIDE A SPECIFIC DESCRIPTION OF ITS INTERESTS	PECIFIC DESCRIPT	ION OF ITS INTERESTS	contained herein is true and complete. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	d complete. I certify unde fornia that the foregoing is	er penalty is true and	of perjury unde correct.	<u>7</u>
				à			
LJ A FILEK I HAT IS NOTAN INDIVIDUAL, BUSINESS ENTITY, OR ASSOCIAT COMMON ECONOMIC INTEREST OF THE GROUP OR ENTITY	IY, OR ASSOCIATIO NTITY	ION MUST DESCRIBE THE	DATE	SIGNATURE OF INDIVIDUAL DONOR OR RESPONSIBLE OFFICER IF OTHER THANANINDIVIDUAL	OF INDIVIDUAL! SER IF OTHER TO	JONOR OR HANAN INDIVIDUAL	
Amendment (Explain):			1	FPPC Form 461 (January/05) FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/ASK-FPPC (866/275-3772)	FPPC For 866/ASK-FI	m 461 (January/0 PPC (866/275-377	50

Independent Expenditure Committee Campaign Statement Major Donor and

Type or print in ink.
Amounts may be rounded to whole dollars.

INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURE COMMITTEE MAJOR DONOR COMMITTEE STATEN	CALIFORNIA	FORM 410	- Page of
INDEPENDENT MAJOR	Statement covers period	from 1/1/05	through 6/30/05

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE NAME OF FILER

Smith Company & Affiliated Entities

5. Contributions (Including Loans, Forgiveness of Loans, and Loan Guarantees) and Expenditures Made

(If more space is needed, use additional copies of this page for continuation sheets.)

CUMULATIVE AMOUNT RELATED TO THIS CANDIDATE, MEASURE, OR COMMITTEE	2,000		5,000		10,000				
AMOUNT THIS PERIOD	5,000		5,000		10,000				
CANDIDATE AND OFFICE, MEASURE AND JURISDICTION, OR COMMITTEE	Antonio Marine, Governor	Support 🔲 Oppose	Antonio Marine, Governor	Support Oppose	Antonio Marine, Governor	Support Oppose		☐ Support ☐ Oppose	\$ SUBTOTAL \$
DESCRIPTION OF PAYMENT (IF OTHER THAN MONETARY CONTRIBUTION OR LOAN)									
TYPE OF PAYMENT	Monetary Contribution Loan Non-Monetary Contribution	Independent Expenditure	Monetary Contribution Loan Non-Monetary Contribution	Independent Expenditure	Monetary Contribution Loan Non-Monetary Contribution	Independent Expenditure	Monetary Contribution Loan Non-Monetary Contribution	Independent Expenditure	
NAME, STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE OF PAYEE (IF COMMITTEE, ALSO ENTER I.D. NUMBER)	Marine For Governor Address & ID (Affiliated Entity: Smith Company)		Marine For Governor Address & ID (Affiliated Entity: Jones Company)		Marine For Governor Address & ID (Affiliated Entity: Jones Company)				
DATE	5/16/05		5/17/05		5/18/05				

Monetary Contributions Received Schedule A (Continuation Sheet)

Amounts may be rounded to whole dollars. Type or print in ink.

I D NUMBER	
Page	through 6/30/05
FORM 400	from
CALIFORNIA A CO	Statement covers period
SCHEDULE A (CONT.)	

5,000 5,000 5,000 TO DATE (IF REQUIRED) PER ELECTION CUMULATIVE TO DATE CALENDAR YEAR (JAN. 1 - DEC. 31) 5,000 5,000 5,000 AMOUNT RECEIVED THIS PERIOD 10,000 5,000 5,000 SUBTOTAL \$ IF AN INDIVIDUAL, ENTER OCCUPATION AND EMPLOYER (IF SELF-EMPLOYED, ENTER NAME OF BUSINESS) ΑN Ϋ́ ٨ FULL NAME, STREET ADDRESS AND ZIP CODE OF CONTRIBUTOR CONTRIBUTOR (IF COMMITTEE, ALSO ENTER I.D. NUMBER)

CODE * IND COM DOTH COM COM OW COM OTH SCC IND COM OTH SCC (Affiliated Entity Filer: Smith Company) Smith Company & Affiliated Entity Jones Company & Affiliated Entity Jones Company & Affiliated Entity Address #1 Address #2 Address #2 Marine For Governor NAME OF FILER DATE RECEIVED 5/24/05 5/18/05 5/20/05

*Contributor Codes

IND – Individual COM – Recipient Committee

(other than PTY or SCC)

OTH – Other (e.g., business entity) PTY – Political Party SCC – Small Contributor Committee

FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/ASK-FPPC (866/275-3772)